

MAYER DOMESTIC WATER IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT  
MAYER RECREATIONAL CENTER  
PUBLIC MEETING OF NOVEMBER 14, 2002  
MINUTES

1. Call to Order at 7:07 p.m. / Roll Call of Members, all Board members are present.
2. Approve Minutes from October 10, 2002. Motion to not approve minutes by Margie Good. She feels that they were improperly done according to open meeting laws. She states that there needs to be an accurate description of all members who proposed all motions, who seconded, etc. and some statements sound like they are quoted when they were not quoted word for word. Brenda Lawyer conveyed to the Board that the meeting packets, which are distributed to the Board for their review, are usually sent out day's prior so they would have adequate time to review minutes. However, due to members wanting agenda items at the last minute - packets were not able to be distributed till the night before. There is usually time to make adjustments, unfortunately not this month - Brenda suggests moving to not approve minutes. Discussion.

Chairman: States that if there is no way of fixing the minutes now, they should table till next month - asks if there is a motion to table till next month: Margie motions, Walt seconds. Any discussion? No. All in favor, motion carried.

3. Public Comments. Chairman: Call speaker's name, they have five minutes.

Bert Teskey: Had question/comments on fire hydrants in Mayer. Spoke regarding a year or two ago we got a grant and a lot of people put time in putting "hydrants" in to reduce insurance & insure fire protection for the town. He understood that the fire dept. didn't approve the hydrants and would not use them cause they are not sufficient enough. Lots of money was spent. Why can't they be used? Also, he had a big question regarding Oak Hills extension - why was this line so hurriedly put in if it wasn't for an emergency? It appeared we needed water - it still isn't pumping water mostly because of the quality in the water, which was known before line was laid. What's the reason it isn't hooked up & why is it a 6-inch line & what's the status.

Dave Albins: His first comment was that he thought we should move the table where Brenda is typing the minutes to avoid problems with her not being able to hear who makes motions made & adopted & voted on - he felt we could do that right now because there could be a problem in the future. (Note: no one made any attempt to relocate table.) He concurred with what Bert talked about and said there is a real question mark there (re: Oak Hills) - what's going on? He had no one specific question - just that the whole thing is a problem. Another comment he made was that re: Chimney Ranch there was options made and actions approved by the Board three meetings ago concerning updating the well and pump and as of today he hasn't seen actions being done other than he heard the well has an electrical problem so its been turned off- as well as not being done its being neglected so it can't relieve other pumps. Its been off- what's going to happen with that?

Dave Martin: He states he ran into a major problem trying to get a hold of anyone when there was that leak on Sunset He called emergency numbers which were on the answering machine, but there were no answers. He didn't know who else to call so he looked up Mike King's telephone number in the book - he was never allowed to talk to Mike. His wife told him Mike was in bed & couldn't be disturbed - he said it was a water leak and she still wouldn't let him get through. He remembered about the Quail Trailer Park problem and finally found Billy's number & was helped. He felt that a Board member's responsibility was to take calls and people should be able to get through to them - there was no one else to call.

#### 4. Information Items

##### a. Management / Operator Report.

Tim Edwards: There were a number of things to report on, however, he didn't have a list of things to work with and much was from memory. He answered questions & thanked people for asking. He thought Billy had some items he wanted to share as well. First, regarding Oak Hills well: Stated that all actions that have been taken have been mentioned in previous meetings. To reiterate - there was Board action taken twice to put the well in service, the recommendation was made to put in service, the water was of sufficient quality and it met all safe drinking water requirements - that has not been technically disputed to this day. Analytical statistical reports meet quality requirements. Board approved installation of 6" line to connect to existing distribution system - it should meet distribution system requirements and ADEQ construction standards (which constitute lines of signification length - must be 4" or larger.) It was recommended to the Board prior to the summer that a 6" water line be installed, which was approved. Staff received direction from the Board to install this line. During the summer there was an emergency - calls were made to ADEQ to expedite this project. We put the line in to connect to the system. Also, he stated that while some people received information that this well only serves a handful of residents - this isn't accurate, it actually serves the whole community. Besides the Oak Hills area - About storage tanks overflowing - when you have a closed system that's what happens. We would create high pressures in certain zones, which is against the uniform plumbing codes, which the District tries to comply with. Anything over 80 lbs per square inch blows appliances. Everything in the District is run as an open system, which has overflows. It is in the plan to start to address this concern some have asked to look into automating the system. This should be done. Keep in mind it will take time; we are talking about 100's of thousands of dollars. Many things need to be done. Costs will increase. Presently, as he understands, there is only about \$200,000 in the cap improvement fund - this was to go toward Oak Hills well - which it did. There is the potential there may not be enough money in this account. The Oak Hills well is connected and ready to place in service. The engineering company that was hired has not approved the construction. Once approved this well can be turned on. We are presently working with the engineering company to get it together. The crises the District had is over - we are handling the water demand. He states that regarding the Chimney ranch wells he is disappointed that the statement was made that nothing was

being done - it has been done. Certain individuals know this to be true. Staff collected information; we had this same issue discussed at the last meeting. It was suggested by those who are putting the costs together - we need to perform a flow test. Staff recommended doing this, it was denied - that's ok. So we can proceed doing the work at the Chimney Ranch wells though there are some risks. I'm more conservative - but the Board is not concerned. What you may not know is that between the last Board meeting and today we had a serious contamination problem at the trailer park near those wells. There was spillage of septic tank sewage - this is a potential to contaminate the wells. There has been a nitrate problem at those wells - this is due to septic tank spillage - these wells were thus cut off & tests made to determine the extent of the damage. We have delayed progress to the improvement in the event the test results show there is damage by overflows at the park and if there was damage there needs to be things done like treatment. He felt we shouldn't want to make further investments till we knew the danger.

"

He also reported on two other things - one being the Bennett line - the line has been improved the line going across the property was bowed (which subjected it to breakage) and the line has been abandoned and new line has been put in. We continue to work with Mr. Baker - there was a linkage between the Bennett line & the Baker easement, we thought we had a resolution to that - but Mr. Baker didn't want to involve attorneys so there was talk that we would not involve attorneys and solve the problem, we were inclined to do that we thought we were making progress, now we just received a letter as of this evening where Mr. Baker has withdrawn his offer of an alternative easement - so I must report back to square one. There are other items that could be included - some are already listed as decision items. Unless Bill has things to add this would conclude Tim's report for this evening. Bill did not.

b. Presentation by Duane Moody from Global Specialist Regarding Updating the System and What They Can Do For Us.

Duane Moody distributes reports and information and discusses what his company can do for us. He has also prepared a bid.

Board has no questions:

c. Discussion on Past Minutes.

Margie Good restates her concern that she said earlier. She didn't feel minutes are being completed as required. Chairman asks for any comments. Mike King: Wants to keep tapes. Tim Edwards: As policy goes - once action has been taken, minutes are usually taped over or burned or whatever. States that if it is the wish of the Board to keep tapes than they should make a policy to keep tapes for a longer period of time. Suggests that staff can draft a policy and bring back to the Board for their review. Mike King: Most minutes have been summarizations, are you saying we can't keep the tapes: Tim: No. States that we need a policy that determines for what amount of time the District should hold on to the tapes. Says that most minutes are action items only with only a small

description, who moved & seconded. We have very detailed minutes here - it isn't customary, but it has been requested. Mike King: We're talking 12 cassettes per year. I'd like to see tapes kept regardless of the recording secretary. Chairman asks if there vs. any more discussion NO.

d. AZ-Step Line.

Chairman asks if there are any questions. Margie Good states she understands the project wasn't completed. There is a lot of work and new line that to her is at a point now we'd like to see it completed.

5. Action Items

a. Discussion / Decision on Harlev Shop and Grill

Tim Edwards: Wasn't sure of the entire extent of the discussion related to this agenda item - he meant to share this in the operator's report. Regarding the installation of meters: Some payment has been forwarded to the District & we are in the process of collecting fees for water usage, etc. I'm aware there is discussion to take place. Margie Good: In our Policies and Procedures there is a cost difference between residence & commercial. The residence fee is \$300.00, commercial fee is \$1200. Frank Soto: I was the manager at the time - in my absence Margie was managing the District. When the line was begun Margie & I met with Ms. Swalve, they didn't need to do the line extension. The reason they did the line extension was because the fire department wanted them to put the line in. They could have served those properties off one meter - I had discussed that with them. "Since they were willing to pay for all materials & pay for the hydrant, I think Billy did minimal work, I feel they should pay the \$1200." Margie: If you didn't charge them what the Board had established - if you say you feel it wasn't necessary to charge them, why do we have policies? Frank: "I admit - charge me for it - whatever." King: Tim, do you think they paid sufficiently? Tim stated that they have begun paying. It was a communication breakdown as to when the meters were actually set. There is a failing on that part on probably all those involved, but now we are seeing fees come in and they are all making progress. It was reported to Tim they were refusing to pay as they were being assessed - they wanted them to bring this matter to the board, I was left with impression that reps from the facility would be here. No one is here to argue their case this evening. Walt D. stated that he understands there was no paperwork in the office. Tim E. stated that was not my understanding, he understands there was paperwork. Walt D. mentioned that it should be in the computer but it's not. Tim said we will bill the people. Dave A. stated that it sounds like it was misbilled also. If a 2-inch meter was installed and the policy was for 1200 - why was it misbilled? Tim concurs that it was a management problem. Discussion continues. Paul H. requests that action be taken on this. Margie asked if it is possible that if there was a water shortage - and these buildings were pumping water - could this be the missing water? Tim tells the Board that water levels are the same, haven't recovered, the gallons per minute have improved slightly - consumption has declined significantly. Margie mentions that she knew Tim

had said the water table would take years to recuperate. Tim agreed. Bert asks if they were charged a sourcing fee in addition to the meter fee for the third meter.

Tim answers: Yes twice. Further discussion.

Chairman felt that since this issue is on the agenda, we should table this indefinitely.

King: "I think I heard Tim say no one is hear to speak for this." Margie stated if someone has a past due over 60 days then they are cut off. Tim responds saying that we'll send them a disconnect notice - if they don't pay in its entirety or make arrangements to pay, then they must be cut off.. Frank Soto said that the Board gave

Bobbi authority to make arrangements when the District is involved. Chairman: Is there a Motion to table indefinitely? Mike King moves to table until the next meeting. Walt seconds. No discussion. All in favor, motion carried.

b. Canvass Election Results. Chairman: David Albms had 311 votes total. We had a couple write-ins - William Crouch had 1 vote and Mike King had 16 votes. David Albins was elected and so was Mike King. Is there a motion to accept results: Walt Diskin moves to accept, Margie Good seconds, all in favor, motion carried.

c. Discussion/ Decision on ASUA Contract. Tim E. states that this is not a staff issue so we can't provide you any kind of report in relation to this agenda item. This is clarification, and then if the Board has any additional discussion to present he was sure the Board would welcome that. Tim also adds that he thought sometimes the Board and the public misunderstands the intent of the management contract - the management contract was never intended to have someone come in, but to employ those locally. As much as possible - ASUA has tried to do that knowing the manager also must reside in the area. Frank Soto asked to be reassigned, so ASUA stepped in to fill the void and he accepted that temporary responsibility. He admits he is busy, however, he stated he has the qualifications to operate a water system of this type. He states it wasn't his intent to continue for a long time, but wanted to step in because the District was faced with an impending crisis, (water shortage over the summer, which was predicted). The contract is such, the District pays the first year \$74,000 approximately. This year the District is paying about \$76,000 annually - monthly installments. ASUA office in Tucson takes that payment and pays the employee expenses for MWD (including taxes insurance, Medicare, FICA, workman's compensation, etc.) what is remaining - the sizable portion is returned to the District as salaries. There are four employees; 2 are full time (Brenda & Billy), two are part time (Bobbi & Frank F.). In this current management situation all of the fees that are collected don't pay any manager's expenses, travel - nothing. The remaining goes to pay services for the remote operator. Presently, the District doesn't have an operator of sufficient certifications. The Staff's job is to provide you with information, ASUA only brings to the Board what they believe to be the consequences & concerns of problems and provide the Board and the community information to make decisions. You, as a board, are responsible for the governance of the District. It is our job to provide you with information. Tim continues. At this point I think its fair to inform the public that ASUA has given notice on Oct. 10, that they will no longer provide service to the District. Margie asks who received this on the tenth. Tim says he mailed the letter to the Chairman. Walt: "I never heard about this." Margie questions

Schumacher about receiving the letter from 10-10, and comments that she didn't even get this packet until last night. Tim says that in the Staff's defense, there were Board members calling all day yesterday requesting agenda items. The agenda doesn't need to be posted until 24 hours before the meeting. Margie: "So why don't I have information available about the ASUA resignation?" Walt D. inquires why the Chairman got the letter and how come the Board didn't get the information? Paul Hines asks if we can table this issue until the end of the meeting. Margie clarifies that Tim typed an ASUA resignation on 10-10. Walt D. states that there have been times the Board members wanted to put things on the agenda (to Tim) and the chairman pulled things off. He tells Tim that works for the Board. David Albins: Questions, the office didn't seem to know about this either I've talked to the people in the office, and did the office staff know about this? Tim there was no purpose for that. Margie states that if you (referring to the Chairman) have a letter of resignation - then if we don't have a vote tonight of whether or not to retain our contract with ASUA - because the statement you made in public - is this a solid resignation? TimE.: "There is no action required the decision has been made. The contract states that either party can give 60 days notice. You don't have to have it in your hands." Discussion with attorney. Paul H. again requests to wait til the end of the meeting. Margie says it is the intent, so is the intent formalized? Anna: "Yes as of December 31". David Albins asks if our attorney has read this letter? Was the attorney notified of this? Anna Y. said she received a copy of this letter tonight. Paul H. asks if it was because you were the only vote they had last time, is that why? I want an explanation. Tim: "The letter was directed to the Chairman of the Board." Paul asks why it wasn't sent to all of the Board members. Tim: "Typically, you address the Chairman before you address anyone because the Chairman is in control. That is how correspondence is written and meetings are conducted." Bert T. asks if this letter excuses any liability on the part of the water district. Over the last year or two, up to currently there has been some erroneous advice given to Board members to make wrong decisions. It was encouraged by the management - King states that this should be directed to Anna Young. Anna states that her first response is that she doesn't give public advice, we should go into Executive Session. David states that this wasn't part of the minutes last time this was brought up. If she hasn't read the contract - then I want that to be a part of the minutes. As a water user, we need to make sure that its part of the minutes. It was specifically asked to be part of the minutes. I'd like to make sure it is. This was brought up 4 or 5 meetings ago - the attorney needs to read the contract. Frank Soto states that the night the contract was approved, Walt asked if it had been approved by attorney - I told them it had not, but if they wanted me to I'd have an attorney read it. Frank said that no one got back to him. Anna says that if someone gives her a copy of the contract she'll read it - asks what she should look for. Reiterates that she is not comfortable giving legal advice in public session, advises going into Executive Session. King: Moves that the manager provide Anna a copy of the management contract as soon as possible - hopefully tomorrow. Seconded by Walt Diskin, all in favor, motion carried. Any more discussion on ASUA contract? King says we don't need to take action - Margie moves to accept the ASUA's resignation, Walt seconds. All in favor, motion carried.



d. Discussion/Decision to Send a Representative of MWD to AZ Rural Water Conference. 2002. Tim E. states that, again, this is not an item that is presented to you by the staff, consequently we don't have a report to give to you accept that there is a conference. If MWD wants to cover the costs of this conference, it may be worthwhile. There is a \$120 registration fee, the attendee is invited to a Wednesday evening banquet, which is an additional cost of \$30.00. King moves that we appropriate enough money to cover the registration fee and \$45 per night for two people. Suggests setting a limit at that, maybe up to \$390 for representatives to attend this conference. Walt seconds, all in favor, motion carried.

e. Discussion/Decision of Attorney Services Authorization Per Board Approval. King requested this as an agenda item, and asks who has requested Anna to be here? Anna answers it was the staff's request. Tim E. states that ASUA didn't request Anna to be here, the Staff did. King says that he thinks there has been public concern for this attorney to represent MWD & ASUA. He added that Whittington admitted there could be conflicts at times, at which it was up to his firm to decide how to deal with it. What would he bill tonight? Anna answers: mileage charge, \$110 dollars per hour is my rate. King: I wanted the Board members to consider any type of temporary action regarding bringing our law firm to our meetings. Tim E. tells the Board and the public that because of the potential for confusion - it was decided that the office manager would have only contact with the attorney to avoid any conflict of interest issues. He said that since he is also the ASUA Executive Director - the only time he contacts the attorney was for ASUA issues only. Also, Anna's presence tonight is due to Brenda's request and this request came from a member of the Board. Short discussion regarding liability. M. King moves to table until next month, seconded by Walt, all in favor, motion carried.

f. Discussion/decision of Special Report/Comment from Chairman and Reorganization of Board Officers. Mike Schumacher passes out letter to Board members. Mike S. reads resignation letter. At this time I will turn meeting over to Duane Hines. Thank you. M. King moves to accept Mike Schuhmacher's resignation, Walt seconds, all in favor, motion carried. Duane Hines (appointed new Chairman) continues with agenda item relating to the reorganization of Board officers: King suggests nominating Margie to fill Duane's position as Clerk. Tim states that Duane's position isn't vacant until he vacates that position. King moves that we change Duane's position to acting Chairman, until new Board members take office, Walt seconds, all in favor, motion carried. Walt D. moves to make Margie Good Clerk, seconded by King, all in favor, motion carried. Tim suggests the staff to announce vacancy by publication. Board discussions. King moves to direct manager to post this vacancy openly and direct any potential applicants to submit a letter of interest and be placed on the agenda for the next meeting, without setting a timeframe for actual decision, Duane seconds. Any discussion? No discussion, all in favor, motion carried.

g. Discussion/Decision to Annex Brian Daniels (Rancho Vista Properties) Into Maver Water District. Tim: This request is not unlike those you have taken action on recently, as with Robineau and Soto. The Board agreed to annex upon conditions, such that the applicant is willing to pay all fees associated with the application, including

description of legal, presented to district, resolution drawn up by attorney & accepted by District. It won't officially be annexed until resolution is accepted. Tim gives background. Brian Daniels speaks on his behalf- he's not sure he wants to be annexed right now - he wants to find out the location of the end of the line cause we will have to extend lines. He wasn't able to determine prior to this meeting whether it will be economically feasible - Margie tells where line stops & asks about acreage per parcel. This is for your properties? Brian: Yes. I intend to split my piece into 5 two-acre pieces. Brian: I've spoken to other residents & they are interested. Tim: I suggest tabling this til the next meeting so staff can provide him with accurate information on where lines end, so he can fairly gather costs needed. King: We'd also like some time - to think about expanding the District since the water shortage. King moves to table til next month's meeting, we are concerned with serving meters. Board discusses. King moves to table til next Board meeting, Margie seconds. Discussion. Margie explains fees for sourcing, etc. all in favor, motion carried.

h. Discussion/Decision to Authorize Sub-committees Action and to Accept Suzanne Martin on Sub-Committees. Albins speaks regarding the sub-committees from the last meeting. We think it was left decided that we were going out to choose subject matter that we'd like to meet on. But, in doing so we've been reading literature about open meeting laws, if we act as a sub-committee - which we haven't yet - we feel we shouldn't be an arm of the Board, but independent in itself. His suggestion was to discontinue the idea of having a committee, and just allow people in community to give public comments & give particular suggestions, review them and adopt them in the future. That way the people who met wouldn't be subject to open meeting laws. It doesn't change anything - the people just want to back off so they aren't sponsored by the Board, they want to continue as individuals. Tim states that the idea of board committees is not new, it's something taught and practiced all over the country. They just provide the Board with an extension to provide the Board information. There are different ways this can be accomplished 1) committee appointed by the board. We suggested as staff adopting principles for committees and recruit individuals to help remedy situations or change policy. 2) another alternative is to have a staff appointed committees however, staff is sometimes hesitant to appoint committees to advise the Board. 3) a group of people getting together which can be done expressing concerns & developing opinions and speaking at public comments. The chair can recognize the self-appointed spokesperson and give them time to speak on agenda items. Dave is asking to dissolve the committees & let them form a group informally. This can be done; Tim says he would like to say that he believes it's important that the Board have committees. Discussion. King says he thinks this was a good idea, people really wanted this, but he also thinks the community is happier with the way things are going - people are getting involved, but as far as this being a formal committee, he agrees with Mr. Albins, they have done the work & as long as they have the opportunity to be an action item on arising issues, he thinks that is sufficient. He thinks the public can be involved without being a formal committee. We do have a forum now. Tim says he doesn't disagree with David he just cautions the Board the intent of the Open Meeting Law is to protect the public. To do away with this opportunity completely might be viewed as people want to meet secretly ... this is just a caution. Discussion. David comments that what we want to do



is just resign as a committee. M. King moves to accept the resignation of current committees, Margie seconds, all in favor, motion earned.

i. Discussion/Decission RE: Opportunity for 10" Main Lines Plus Options Funded by the State fo Emergency Management of Schools Tim: I don't know why this is on here, I know there is an interest, but I don't feel we adequately have enough information to base a decision. He wasn't comfortable with providing the Board with information He suggests tabling this until the December meeting so staff can gather information so the Board can take action. This was brought up at the last minute so we haven't had time to gather information. Margie asked if there is anything written, or any comments. Paul Hines comments that what is happening is that our school has 4.1 million dollars given by ASFB, it has been put to bid, it will end on the 19<sup>th</sup> of this month and under the atate fire marshal's direction they will provide fire protection to our school. They've proposed a 200 – gallon tank, pumps, and backup generator. Fire marshal said if we could provide 1500 gallons per minute we'd meet theor requirement by adding a 10" main we could sustain this requirement, No one contacted our district about this. We are at a time that if we do not participate now we have a new governor in place & as of the first of Jan she proposes a half billion cut in our school funding I we don't bid this there is a chance that this money will be lost to our school district. If MWD would participate it would be a great benefit Paul describes route of 10" main. Funding is to be awarded on the 19- I have placed my name with MWD for consideration. It would be something that would be a great asset. Replace 2 line on Fair Mist with 10" line. It would increase pressure on that end of town and the state would pay 100% of all costs. Anna states she is uncomfortable with the public submitting things under MWD name. Frank Soto says he contacted the school superintendent, and has at least 4 discussions with their architects, ect and for Mr. Hines to say we haven't done anything, this is not true. Discussion. Paul requests to be allowed To act on MWD behalf, explains his recent efforts to accomplish this, and wants to be a consultant and then offers to donate his time. Margie asks what can we do tonight – I'd like concrete numbers, a proposal or something. Tim: I propose staff could get involved do the legwork so we can make a decision - first we need to take action, you can authorize staff to take necessary action. At this point, there is not enough information in my mind to take action. Walt wants to give Paul authorization. Tim wants certified operators to be involved. Paul H states that if we can construct this line we have a six month time to do it. Explains timeframe and details. Explains how the state will fund everything. Duane seeks motion to go into Executive Sessions on this issue to hear legal advice. King moves to adjourn into Executive Session. Margie seconds, all in favor, Board goes into Executive Session.

M. King moves to return to open session, Walt seconds, all in favor, open session reconvenes.

M King moves to designate a District representative to accompany Paul Hines to research the state/school issue, Walt seconds. Margie: I amend to motion for them to have 4 days to bring back information. M. King seconds, all in favor, motion earned. M.

King moves to appoint Bill Jones to accompany Paul Hines to research state/school Board, in four days to report back. Walt seconds, all in favor, motion carried.

j. Discussion/Decision Regarding Oak Hills well. Tim E. states that this is not a staff generated issue and, therefore, can't provide the Board with any information. M. King states that he requested this item on the agenda, he wanted to know the amount of money spent, & stop work efforts. Tim says the project is done. The only thing we are waiting on is to get the engineer to certify the project, at this point that is were it resides. Complete construction is done, chlorinators are ready, we just can't turn the water on until the engineer certifies that the work is done properly. M. King confirms that what Tim said was that there should not be any further expenditures on the District. Tim states that the only expenditure is to validate the well. Tim explains procedure needed to be done. The expense to the District should be minimal. M. King asks what the total expenditures on that are now? Tim says a little more than \$56,000 dollars. Pipe, trench, blasting, materials. M. King moves we table this item until next meeting. Margie seconds. Paul Hines comments that two meetings ago Tim said the well was registered for the District. Tim: "No I never said I registered the well. To my knowledge the well the District owns is registered to the District. Paul H. says that Adam Delany said the well is owned by Watermark Systems. Tim states that this is a point that requires no discussion. To convolute the point any further would be pointless. Paul H. states that as long as the District owns the well & its registered in their name we don't have a problem, but the State of AZ says its registered in another name. Dave Albins states that it sounds like Paul has information. Discussion. M. King moves to table decision, seconded by Walt D., all in favor, motion carried.

†  
k. Discussion/Decision on Sunset & Railroad. Tim E. states that there is an authorization needed as an expenditure that's why this issue is premature. We believe there are valid issues and want this tabled until next month. Walt states that what this is doing is stalling Mr. Reid. M. King moves to table item K until the next Special Board meeting along with item L, seconded by Walt D., all in favor, motion carried.

M. Kings moves to adjourn, Duane H. seconds, all in favor. Meeting adjourns at 10:16 p.m.